

(Ge_{0.17}Se_{0.83})_{100-X} In_X Chalcogenide glassy systems: Non-linear Optical Analysis

¹G.Naresh Reddy, ²N.Yuganand, ³P.Ramesh, ⁴Veerreddy Shivakishore

^{1,2,3}Assistant Professor, ⁴UG Student, ^{1,2,3,4}Department of H&S, Brilliant Grammar School Educational Society Group of Institutions Integrated Campus, Hyderabad, India

Abstract

Ge_{0.17}Se_{0.83} Ternary 100-X Glassy In_X Chalcogenide alloys were created using the melt quenching process. Many non-linear optical characteristics were calculated from linear optical parameters ((1)), n, and E_g, including third-order non-linear susceptibility ((3)) and non-linear refractive index (n₂). E_g and the refractive index (n) were directly calculated using the semi-empirical relationship R.R. Reddy suggested. The acquired data reveals that while the values of n₂, n, ((3)), and ((1)) rise with an increase in In concentration, the value of E_g falls as In concentration increases.

Key words:- Non-linear optical susceptibility and refractive index

Introduction

Due to its potential application in ultra-fast optical switching devices, frequency converters, electro-optic modulators and devices, all optical circuits, and all optical signal processing, non-linear optical phenomena in glasses have drawn the attention of many researchers [1–5].

The chalcogenides (sulphides, selenides, and tellurides) have grown to be particularly fascinating glasses since they were predicted to exhibit considerable non-linear optical features [6].

Chalcogenide glasses are of significance in developing the next generation of photonic chips, platform for ultra-fast all-optical signal processing, and have attracted considerable interest recently. They have the highest linear and non-linear refractive index among glasses, resulting in the highest non-linear properties. [7].

In the present work we have calculated the non-linear optical parameters in (Ge_{0.17}Se_{0.83})_{100-X} In_X glassy systems and have examined how the non linear refractive index behaves with linear refractive index.

Non-linear Optical Analysis:-

The non-linear refractive index (n₂) can be determined using the semi-empirical relation of Ticha et al [8] as-

$$n_2 = B / E_g^4 \quad (1)$$

Where B = 1.26 x 10⁻⁹ [esu(eV)⁴]. Putting the value of E_g [9], we obtain the different values of n₂ for different concentration of In%. The calculated values of n₂ are listed in Table-(1).

Approximat determination of third-order non-linear susceptibility can be performed by using the generalized to Miller's formula [10-11] as-

$$\chi^{(3)} = A\chi^{(1)4} \quad (2)$$

Where $\chi^{(1)}$ is the linear optical susceptibility and A is a constant having estimated value 1.7 X 10⁻¹⁰ in (esu)

For amorphous chalcogenide glassy systems the first order approximation of the first order linear susceptibility $\chi^{(1)}$ is calculated by using the following equation-

$$\chi^{(1)} = (n^2 - 1)/4\pi \tag{3}$$

Where n is the linear refractive index which is calculated by the proposed empirical relation given by Reddy et.al [12-13] as-

$$n = (12.417/E_g - 0.365)^{1/2} \tag{4}$$

The value of E_g , n, $\chi^{(1)}$, $\chi^{(3)}$ and n_2 are tabulated in Table-(1).

Composition (In%)	E_g (eV)	n	$\chi^{(1)}$ (esu)	$\chi^{(3)}$ (esu) X 10^{-12}	n_2 (esu) X 10^{-11}
0	2.654	2.3290	0.3522	2.6129	2.541
5	2.584	2.3655	0.3659	3.0464	2.830
10	2.580	2.3676	0.3667	3.0736	2.843
15	2.574	2.3708	0.3679	3.1142	2.866

Results

There are several ways to estimate $\chi^{(3)}$ from linear refractive index (n), or from optical susceptibility $\chi^{(1)}$ [14]. The physically based Miller's rule, is one of the most convenient especially for visible and near infrared frequencies. The accuracy of Miller's rule is generally better than an order of magnitude for many covalent or ionic compounds [15-16]. For many halides, oxides and sulphides it agrees with experimental values within a factor of two [17]. The fast component of n_2 and $\chi^{(3)}$ is believed to arise from pure electronic effects. For most transparent materials, the third order non-linearity results from an harmonic terms of the polarization of bound electrons, but the non-linear effects are influenced also by nuclear contributions [18].

In the present work we conclude that the values of n, $\chi^{(1)}$, $\chi^{(3)}$ and n_2 increases with the increase in In concentration where as the value of E_g decreases with the increase in In concentration for the present chalcogenide glassy systems.

References

- [1]. K.Terashima, T.Uchino, T.Hashimoto, T.Yokoo, S.H.Kim (1996), Structure and Non-linear optical properties of Sb2O3-B2O3 binary glasses, J.Ceram. Soc. Japan, Int.Ed. 104, 1008.
- [2]. E.M.Vogel (1998), Glasses as Non-linear photonic materials, J.Am. Chem. Soc. 723, 719.
- [3]. E.M.Vogel, M.J.Weber, D.M.Krol (1991), Non-linear optical phenomena in glasses, Phys. Chem. Glasses, 32, 231.
- [4]. E.M.Vogel, Y.Silberberg (1994), 1.3 μ m emission of neodymium and praseodymium in telluride based glasses, New Glasses, 9, 11.
- [5]. F.Smektala, C.Quemard, V.Conderc, A.Barthlmy (2000), Non-linear optical properties of chalcogenide glasses measured by Z-Scan, J.Non-Cryst. Solids, 274, 232.
- [6]. Liu Qi-Ming, Mi Jun, Qian Shi-Xiong, Gan Fu-Xi (2002), Ultrafast Optical Kerr Effect in Amorphous As2Se3 film induced by Ultrashort Laser Pulses, Chin. Phys. Lett.19, 575.
- [7]. C.C.Wang (1970), Empirical relation between the linear and the third order non-linear susceptibilities, Phys. Rev. B, 2, 2045.
- [8]. H.Tichy, L. Ticha (2002), Semi-empirical relation between non-linear susceptibility, linear refractive index and optical gap and its applications to amorphous chalcogenides, J. Opt.Adv. Mat.4(2), 381.

- [9]. Surabh Tiwari, Ashish Kumar Saxena, Dinesh Saxena (2011), Theoretical investigation of the optical properties of $(\text{Ge}_{0.17}\text{Se}_{0.83})_{100-x}\text{In}_x$ glass systems, IUP Journal of Physics, 4(3), 50-56.
- [10]. J.J.Wynne (1969), Optical third order mixing in GaAs, Ge, Si and InAs, Phys. Rev. B, 178, 1295.
- [11]. S.H.Wample, M.Didomenico (1971), Behavior of the electronic dielectric constant in covalent and ionic materials, Phys. Rev. B, 3, 1338.
- [12]. G.Dionne, J.C.Woolly (1972), Optical properties of some $\text{Pb}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{Te}$ alloy-determined from infrared plasma reflectivity measurements, Phys. Rev. B, 6, 3898.
- [13]. V.Kumar, J.K.Singh (2010), Model for calculating refractive index of different materials, Ind. J. Pure and Appl. Phys.48, 571-574.
- [14]. K.Petkov, P.J.S.Ewen (1999), Photo induced changes in the linear and non-linear optical properties of chalcogenide glasses, J.Non-Cryst. Solids, 249, 150.
- [15]. G.A.M.Amin (2015), Studies on $\text{In}_x(\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3)_{1-x}$ thin films using variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry, Materials Science-Poland, 33(), 501-507.
- [16]. M.Frumar, J.Jedelsky, B.Frumarova, T.Wanger, M.Hrdlicka (2003), Optically and thermally induced changes of structure, linear and non linear optical properties of chalcogenide thin films, J.Non-Cryst. Solids, 326&327, 399-404.
- [17]. M.E.Lines (1990), Bond Orbital theory of linear and non-linear electronic response in ionic crystals. II.Non-linear response, Phys. Rev. B, 41, 3383.
- [18]. Rosen Todorov, Eva Cernoskiva, Petr Knotek, Z.Cernosek, M.Vlasova (2018), Temperature dependence of the optical properties of thin Ge-Se-In films, J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 498, 415-421.